

STRUCTURE OF CROSSED PRODUCTS BY STRICTLY PROPER ACTIONS ON CONTINUOUS-TRACE ALGEBRAS

SIEGFRIED ECHTERHOFF AND DANA P. WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. We examine the ideal structure of crossed products $B \rtimes_{\beta} G$ where B is a continuous-trace C^* -algebra and the induced action of G on the spectrum of B is proper. In particular, we are able to obtain a concrete description of the topology on the spectrum of the crossed product in the cases where either G is discrete or G is a Lie group acting smoothly on the spectrum of B .

INTRODUCTION

A first step in understanding the structure of a crossed product $B \rtimes_{\beta} G$ is to describe its spectrum together with its Jacobson topology. Unfortunately, such a task is hopelessly out of reach in any sort of general setting. If we at least assume that the action of G on the spectrum of B is well-behaved, then the Mackey-Rieffel-Green machine allows us to describe $(B \rtimes_{\beta} G)^{\wedge}$ as a *set* in terms of projective representations of the stability groups for the action of G on the spectrum of B . These representations are determined by the Mackey obstructions which can vary wildly even in the seemingly most benign situations. This wild behavior is often an insurmountable obstruction to describing the Jacobson topology. In the case where one assumes away the difficulty — that is, where we assume all the Mackey obstructions vanish — there has been considerable progress if it is also assumed that the stabilizers are constant, see, for example [19, 21, 23, 26], and when the stabilizer map is continuous [25]. When nontrivial Mackey obstructions are allowed, the progress has been more modest and is usually accompanied with robust hypotheses [5, 6, 9, 10, 14]. In this article, we take on the case where G acts properly on the spectrum X of a continuous-trace C^* -algebra B . This in particular guarantees that the Mackey-Rieffel-Green machine works very nicely and gives us a tidy set-theoretic description of $(B \rtimes_{\beta} G)^{\wedge}$. Specifically, if $[\omega_x] \in H^2(G_x, \mathbb{T})$ is the Mackey obstruction at $x \in X$, then we can form the set

$$\text{Stab}(X_{\beta})^{\wedge} = \{ (x, \sigma) : x \in X \text{ and } \sigma \in \widehat{G}_{x, \omega_x} \},$$

where $\widehat{G}_{x, \omega_x}$ is the collection of irreducible ω_x -representations of the stability group G_x . Then $\text{Stab}(X_{\beta})^{\wedge}$ carries a natural G -action and we can identify the orbit space $G \backslash \text{Stab}(X_{\beta})^{\wedge}$ with the spectrum of the crossed product via an induction process: $(x, \sigma) \mapsto \text{ind}_x(\sigma)$. Our first result is to equip $\text{Stab}(X_{\beta})^{\wedge}$ with a natural topology so that induction induces a homeomorphism with the spectrum.

Date: 20 August 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L55.

The research for this paper was partially supported by the German Research Foundation (SFB 478 and SFB 878) and the EU-Network Quantum Spaces Noncommutative Geometry (Contract No. HPRN-CT-2002-00280) as well as the Edward Shapiro Fund at Dartmouth College.

However to give a more useful and concrete description of the topology on $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$, and hence on the spectrum of the crossed product, we require some hypotheses on G (to at least partially tame the Mackey obstructions). In our main results, we are able to give such descriptions in the cases where (1) G is discrete, and (2) when X is a manifold and G is a Lie group acting smoothly, as well as properly, on X . For example, in the case where G is discrete, we can show that $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ if and only if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X and we eventually have $G_{x_n} \subseteq G_x$ and σ_n equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\sigma|_{G_{x_n}}$. (The result for Lie groups is similar, but a bit more technical.)

Since the methods of proof in our main results seem to require separability, we assume throughout that the spaces and groups that appear are second countable and that our algebras are separable. Representations and other homomorphisms between C^* -algebras are assumed to be $*$ -preserving.

The starting point for this article is a nice structure theorem, in terms of a generalized fixed point algebras, for crossed products for proper actions on spaces due to the first author and H. Emerson [8]. In fact, we make considerable use of some of the results in that paper as well as some ideas from the Ph.D. thesis of Katharina Neumann [18]. In §1, we review the construction of the generalized fixed point algebra from [8] as well as some preliminary results on C^* -bundles. In §2, we specialize to the case of strictly proper actions on continuous-trace C^* -algebras and study in detail the fixed point algebras that arise in our investigations. We also state the particular version of the Mackey-Rieffel-Green machine that we will employ. In §3, we introduce the topology on $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$, and show that the spectrum of $B \rtimes_\beta G$ is the quotient. Our main results are stated and proved in §4. In §5, we exhibit a class of group extensions — namely compact extensions G of abelian groups — where we can apply our results to the spectrum of G . In particular, we work out in detail the spectrum of the crystallographic group **p4g**. Even in this case, our description is very subtle and clearly demonstrates the difficulty of working with varying Mackey obstructions.

1. THE BUNDLE STRUCTURE OF A $X \rtimes G$ -ALGEBRA

Recall that we call a C^* -algebra B a $C_0(X)$ -algebra if there is a nondegenerate map $\Phi : C_0(X) \rightarrow ZM(B)$. For the basics on $C_0(X)$ -algebras and their associated upper-semicontinuous C^* -bundles, we refer to [30, Appendix C]. For convenience, we recall some standard facts and notations here. In particular, every $C_0(X)$ -algebra B is $C_0(X)$ -isomorphic to the section algebra $\Gamma_0(X, \mathcal{B})$ of an upper-semicontinuous C^* -bundle $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$. The fibre over x can be identified with the quotient $B(x) = B/I_x$, where I_x is the ideal of B generated by products $f \cdot a$ with $f \in C_0(X)$ such that $f(x) = 0$ (and where, as is standard, we have written $f \cdot a$ in place of $\Phi(f)(a)$). When the map $b \mapsto \|b\|$ is continuous from \mathcal{B} to \mathbb{R} (or equivalently, when the map $x \mapsto \|b(x)\|$ is continuous for $b \in B$), we say that \mathcal{B} is a *continuous* C^* -bundle. If Y is a closed subset of X and if $B = \Gamma_0(X, \mathcal{B})$ is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra, then $B_Y := \Gamma_0(Y, \mathcal{B}|_Y)$ is the restriction of B to Y . We can also realize B_Y as the quotient of B by the ideal generated by $C_0(X \setminus Y) \cdot B$.

As in [8], if B is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra for a G -space X , and if $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is a $C_0(X)$ -linear dynamical system, then we call B a $X \rtimes G$ -algebra if the structure map $\Phi : C_0(X) \rightarrow ZM(B)$ is G -equivariant.

In this paper, we will always assume that the action of G on X is *proper* in that the map $(s, x) \mapsto (s \cdot x, x)$ is a proper map from $G \times X$ to $X \times X$. If X is a proper G -space, then the orbit space $G \backslash X$ is Hausdorff and that the stability groups $G_x = \{s \in G : s \cdot x = x\}$ are compact [20, Theorem 1.2.9 and Proposition 1.1.4].

If B is a $X \rtimes G$ -algebra for a strictly proper G -action — in which case we say that B is a *strictly proper* $X \rtimes G$ -algebra — then there is an associated *generalized fixed point algebra* $B^{G, \beta}$ which agrees with the usual fixed point algebra when G is compact ([8, §2]). We sketch the details of its construction here. We first form the *induced algebra* $C_0(X \times_{G, \beta} B)$ which consists of continuous functions $F : X \rightarrow B$ which have the property $F(s \cdot x) = \beta_s(F(x))$ for all $s \in G$ and $x \in X$ and such that $G \cdot x \mapsto \|F(x)\|$ vanishes at infinity on $G \backslash X$. (Induced algebras have been studied extensively for free and proper actions of G on X — for example see [24], [22] and [13] — but other than [8, Lemma 2.2], we are not aware of any systematic study of induced algebras for strictly proper actions that are not necessarily free.) The properness of the G -action on X guarantees that the diagonal action of G on $X \times X$ is proper. Hence the orbit space $G \backslash (X \times X)$ is Hausdorff and we obtain a nondegenerate homomorphism

$$\Phi : C_0(G \backslash (X \times X)) \rightarrow ZM(C_0(X \times_{G, \beta} B))$$

by $\Phi(f)(F)(x)(y) = f([x, y])F(x)(y)$. Then the generalized fixed point algebra $B^{G, \beta}$ is defined to be the restriction of the $C_0(G \backslash (X \times X))$ -algebra $C_0(X \times_{G, \beta} B)$ to the closed subspace $G \backslash \Delta(X)$. After identifying X with $\Delta(X)$, we see that $B^{G, \beta}$ inherits a $C_0(G \backslash X)$ -algebra structure. As mentioned above, if G is compact, then the generalized fixed point algebra $B^{G, \beta}$ is just the usual fixed point algebra. Also, if $B = C_0(X, A)$ for some C^* -algebra A admitting a G -action β , then $C_0(X, A)^{G, \text{lt} \otimes \beta}$ is just the induced algebra $C_0(X \times_{G, \beta} A)$.

If G_x is the stabilizer of x , then $I_x = C_0(X \setminus \{x\}) \cdot B$ is a G_x -invariant ideal of B and we have an induced action $\beta^x : G_x \rightarrow \text{Aut } B(x)$ given by $\beta_s^x(b(x)) = \beta_s(b)(x)$. In fact, if $B = \Gamma_0(X, \mathcal{B})$, then G acts on \mathcal{B} via $\beta_{x, s} : B(x) \rightarrow B(s \cdot x)$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad \beta_{x, s}(b(x)) = \beta_s(b)(s \cdot x) \quad \text{for all } b \in B.$$

Then

$$(1.2) \quad \beta_{s \cdot x, h} \circ \beta_{s, x} = \beta_{x, sh} \quad \text{for all } s, h \in G \text{ and } x \in X.$$

If $h \in G_x$, then $\beta_{x, h}$ is just the induced automorphism β_h^x as defined above.¹

Lemma 1.1. *Let B be a strictly proper $X \rtimes G$ -algebra. Then the fibre over $G \cdot x$ of the $C_0(G \backslash X)$ -algebra $B^{G, \beta}$ is isomorphic to $B(x)^{G_x, \beta^x}$. In fact, we can realize $B^{G, \beta}$ as*

$$(1.3) \quad \left\{ b \in \Gamma_b(X, \mathcal{B}) : b(s \cdot x) = \beta_s^x(b(x)) \text{ for all } s \in G \text{ and } x \in X, \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \text{such that } G \cdot x \mapsto \|b(x)\| \text{ is in } C_0(G \backslash X) \right\}.$$

Then the isomorphism of the fibre of $B^{G, \beta}$ over $G \cdot x$ with $B(x)^{G_x, \beta^x}$ is given via evaluation at x . If \mathcal{B} is a continuous C^ -bundle, then $B^{G, \beta}$ is the section algebra of a continuous C^* -bundle over $G \backslash X$.*

¹The $\beta_{x, s}$ give an action of the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ on \mathcal{B} which explains our terminology and notation for $X \rtimes G$ -algebras. We will not make use of groupoid technology in this paper.

Proof. By [8, Lemma 2.2], the fibre $C_0(X \times_{G,\beta} B)(G \cdot x)$ is isomorphic to the fixed point algebra $B^{G_x,\beta}$ via evaluation at x . On the other hand, the $(G \cdot x)$ -fibre of $B^{G,\beta}$ is $\{F(x)(x) : F \in C_0(X \times_{G,\beta} B)\}$. Since $B^{G_x,\beta} = \{F(x) : F \in C_0(X \times_{G,\beta} B)\}$, we just need to see that evaluation at x takes $B^{G_x,\beta}$ onto $B(x)^{G_x,\beta^x}$. (It clearly takes values in $B(x)^{G_x,\beta^x}$.) However, if $b_x \in B(x)^{G_x,\beta^x}$, then there is a $b \in B$ such that $b(x) = b_x$. But if $s \in G_x$, then $\beta_s(b)(x) = (\beta^x)_g(b(x)) = b_x$. Thus we can let $\tilde{b} = \int_{G_x} \beta_s(b) ds$. Then $\tilde{b} \in B^{G_x,\beta}$ and $\tilde{b}(x) = b_x$.

Clearly, we can identify $C_0(X \times_{G,\beta} B)$ with continuous sections of the C^* -bundle $X \times \mathcal{B}$ over $X \times X$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad F(s \cdot x, s \cdot y) = \beta_s(F(x, \cdot))(s \cdot y) = \beta_{y,s}(F(x, y)) \quad \text{and such that}$$

$G \cdot x \mapsto \sup_y \|F(x, y)\|$ vanishes at infinity on $G \setminus X$.

On the other hand, (1.3) is a closed $C_0(G \setminus X)$ -subalgebra D of $\Gamma_b(X, \mathcal{B})$. The map sending F to $(x \mapsto F(x, x))$ defines a homomorphism of $C_0(X \times_{G,\beta} B)$ into D which factors through a $C_0(G \setminus X)$ -homomorphism ψ of $B^{G,\beta}$ into D . Then ψ induces a map of the fibre $B^{G,\beta}(G \cdot x)$ into $D(G \cdot x)$. Evaluation at x clearly identifies $D(G \cdot x)$ with a subalgebra of $B(x)^{G_x,\beta^x}$, and the first part of the lemma implies the image is all of $B(x)^{G_x,\beta^x}$ and that ψ induces an isomorphism of the fibres over $G \cdot x$. Hence ψ is an isomorphism so that we can identify D with $B^{G,\beta}$ as claimed.

To verify the statement about continuous bundles, it suffices to see that $G \cdot x \mapsto \|b(x)\|$ is continuous for b in (1.3). But this is automatic if \mathcal{B} is a continuous bundle. \square

If B is a strictly proper $X \rtimes G$ -algebra, then so is $B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ with $C_0(X)$ acting on the first factor and with respect to the diagonal G -action $\beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho$, where $\rho : G \rightarrow U(L^2(G))$ is the right regular representation. Clearly, $B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ is the section algebra of a bundle $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ over X built from the fibres $B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ and for which $x \mapsto b(x) \otimes T$ is a continuous section for every $b \in B$ and $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$. Since we can identify $\text{Prim } B$ and $\text{Prim}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))$, it follows from [15] or [30, Theorem C.26] that $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ is continuous when \mathcal{B} is. Hence we can quote [8, Theorem 2.14] to deduce the following.

Theorem 1.2 ([8, Theorem 2.14]). *Let B be a strictly proper $X \rtimes G$ -algebra. Then $B \rtimes_{\beta} G$ is isomorphic to the generalized fixed point algebra $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G,\beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho}$, which is a $C_0(G \setminus X)$ -algebra with fibres over $G \cdot x$ isomorphic to $(B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G_x,\beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho}$. Moreover if B is (the section algebra of a) continuous bundle of C^* -algebras, then so is $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G,\beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho}$.*

2. PROPER ACTIONS ON C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH CONTINUOUS TRACE

Now we restrict to the situation where B is a continuous-trace C^* -algebra with spectrum X . Then we may assume that B is the section algebra $\Gamma_0(X, \mathcal{B})$ of a continuous C^* -bundle \mathcal{B} with fibres $B(x) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_x)$ for Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_x . We will also assume that we have a dynamical system $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ such that the induced action of G on X is proper. In the sequel, we will simply say that G acts strictly properly on B . We use the term “strictly properly” to make sure that our notion is not mistaken with the much weaker notion of proper actions on C^* -algebras due to Rieffel [27, 28].

If \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space, then $U(\mathcal{H})$ will denote the group of unitary operators on \mathcal{H} endowed with the strong operator topology. Then $\text{Aut } \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ can be identified with the projective unitary group $U(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{T}1$ in a canonical way, and we can view $\beta^x : G_x \rightarrow \text{Aut } B(x)$ as a continuous homomorphism of G_x into $PU(\mathcal{H}_x)$. (Thus, β^x is often called a *projective representation* of G_x on \mathcal{H}_x .² Since each \mathcal{H}_x is separable, there is a Borel map $V_x : G_x \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_x)$ such that $\beta^x = \text{Ad } V_x$. Our choice of V_x determines a Borel 2-cocycle $\omega_x : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad V_x(s)V_x(t) = \omega_x(s, t)V_x(st) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in G_x.$$

Assuming, as we do, that we have arranged that $V_x(e) = 1_{\mathcal{H}_x}$, then our cocycle is *normalized* in that $\omega_x(e, s) = 1 = \omega_x(s, e)$ for all $s \in G$. A normalized 2-cocycle is called a *multiplier* on G . The class $[\omega_x] \in H^2(G_x, \mathbb{T})$ depends only on β_x and represents the obstruction to β_x being implemented by a unitary representation of G_x on \mathcal{H}_x . It is called the *Mackey obstruction* at x .

Our task in this section is to examine the structure of the fibres $(B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G_x, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho}$ of $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G, \beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho}$. Then if $B(x) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_x)$ and $\beta^x = \text{Ad } V_x$ as above, we want to examine $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_x \otimes L^2(G))^{G_x, \text{Ad}(V_x \otimes \rho)}$. Thus, dropping the “ x ”, we want to consider the fixed point algebra

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho)}$$

where K is a compact subgroup of G , and where $V : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ is a Borel lift of a projective representation $\beta : K \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$. We let $\omega \in H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$ be the multiplier associated to V as in (2.1).

A Borel map $\sigma : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ which satisfies $\sigma(s)\sigma(t) = \omega(s, t)\sigma(st)$ is called a *multiplier representation with multiplier ω* or, more simply, an *ω -representation* of K . We have two canonical ω -representations of K on $L^2(K)$, called the left- and right-regular ω -representations, which are given, respectively, by

$$\lambda_K^\omega(s)\xi(t) = \omega(s, s^{-1}t)\xi(s^{-1}t) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_K^\omega(s)\xi(t) = \omega(t, s)\xi(ts).$$

The multiplier ω determines a group structure on $K \times \mathbb{T}$:

$$(s, z)(t, w) = (st, \omega(s, t)zw) \quad \text{and} \quad (s, z)^{-1} = (s^{-1}, \overline{z\omega(s, s^{-1})}).$$

A nontrivial result due to Mackey implies that the resulting group, denoted by $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$, has a compact topology (since K is compact) such that $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$ is a central extension of K by \mathbb{T} . (For references and more details, see page 376 of [30].) Furthermore, the ω -representations of K are then in one-to-one correspondence with the unitary representations of $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$ whose restriction to \mathbb{T} is a multiple of the identity character $z \mapsto z$ (see, for example, [30, Proposition D.28]). In a similar way, we get a correspondence between the $\bar{\omega}$ -representations of K and the unitary representations of $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$ that restrict to a multiple of the character $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ on \mathbb{T} . Since $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$ is compact, every irreducible ω -representation of K is finite-dimensional. We will write \hat{K}_ω for the set of irreducible ω -representations.

Remark 2.1. Using the above correspondence and the well-known theory of unitary representations of compact groups (for example, see [2, §§7.2–3]), we see that the

²For an elementary discussion of projective representations, see [30, §D.2].

space \mathcal{H}_W of any ω -representation $W : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_W)$ on a separable Hilbert space decomposes as

$$\mathcal{H}_W = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{H}(\sigma),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\sigma)$ is the *isotope* of σ . That is, $\mathcal{H}(\sigma)$ is the union of closed K -invariant subspaces \mathcal{H}' of \mathcal{H}_W such that the restriction of W to \mathcal{H}' is equivalent to σ . Then each $\mathcal{H}(\sigma)$ decomposes as a tensor product $\mathcal{H}'_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ in such a way that

$$\mathcal{H}_W \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{H}'_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad W \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} 1_{\mathcal{H}'_\sigma} \otimes \sigma.$$

Then, and this is the point, the fixed point algebra is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_W)^{K, \text{Ad } W} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}'_\sigma) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma}.$$

Therefore to understand the structure of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad } V \otimes \rho}$, we need to decompose the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)$ into isotopes as above via an analogue of the Peter-Weyl Theorem for compact groups. Although the result is certainly well-known, we include a statement and proof as we lack a direct reference. First, for any ω -representation $\sigma : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$, we write \mathcal{H}_σ^* for the conjugate Hilbert space and let $\sigma^* : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_\sigma^*)$ be the conjugate representation of σ : $\sigma^*(s)(v^*) = (\sigma(s)(v))^*$. Then σ^* is a $\bar{\omega}$ -representation and the map $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^*$ is a bijection between \widehat{K}_ω and $\widehat{K}_{\bar{\omega}}$. There is a unique linear map

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^* \rightarrow L^2(K),$$

sending the elementary tensor $v \otimes w^*$ to $g_{v,w}^\sigma$, where $g_{v,w}^\sigma(k) = \sqrt{d_\sigma}(v \mid \sigma(k)w)$ and $d_\sigma = \dim \mathcal{H}_\sigma$.

Lemma 2.2 (Peter-Weyl). *Let ω be a multiplier on the compact group K . Then the maps (2.2) above induce a Hilbert-space isomorphism of*

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{H}_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^* \quad \text{with} \quad L^2(K)$$

which intertwines the representation $\bigoplus_\sigma \sigma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma^}$ with the left regular ω -representation λ_K^ω , as well as the representation $\bigoplus_\sigma 1_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma} \otimes \sigma^*$ with the right regular $\bar{\omega}$ -representation $\rho_K^{\bar{\omega}}$.*

Proof. As we will see, the result follows readily from the classical Peter-Weyl Theorem for the compact group $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$ which gives us the decomposition

$$L^2(K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}) \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in (K \times_\omega \mathbb{T})^\wedge} \mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\tau^*$$

in which an elementary tensor $v \otimes w^*$ in $\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\tau^*$ corresponds to the function $f_{v,w}^\tau$ given by

$$f_{v,w}^\tau(k, z) := \sqrt{d_\tau}(v \mid \tau(k, z)w).$$

Since \mathbb{T} is central in $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$, the restriction to \mathbb{T} of any irreducible representation τ of $K \times_\omega \mathbb{T}$ must be a multiple of a character $\chi_n \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ of the form $\chi_n(z) = z^n$ (with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Thus $\tau(k, z) = \tau((e, z)(k, 1)) = \chi_n(z)\tau(k, 1)$, and

$$f_{v,w}^\tau(k, z) = \bar{\chi}_n(z)g_{v,w}^\tau(k) \quad \text{where} \quad g_{v,w}^\tau(k) := \sqrt{d_\tau}(v \mid \tau(k, 1)w).$$

Recall that if $\{v_1^\tau, \dots, v_{n_\tau}^\tau\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{H}_τ if we let $f_{ij}^\tau = f_{v_i, v_j}^\tau$, then

$$\{f_{ij}^\tau : \tau \in (K \times_\omega \mathbb{T})^\wedge \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq n_\tau\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(K \times_\omega \mathbb{T})$. Thus if we let $g_{ij}^\tau(k) = f_{ij}^\tau(k, 1)$ and let $(K \times_\omega \mathbb{T})_n^\wedge$ be the collection of τ restricting to a multiple of χ_n on \mathbb{T} , then we get an orthonormal basis of $L^2(K) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{T}) \cong L^2(K \times_\omega \mathbb{T})$ of the form

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{g_{ij}^\tau \otimes \bar{\chi}_n : \tau \in (K \times_\omega \tau)_n^\wedge \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq n_\tau\}.$$

Since $\{\bar{\chi}_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, we can conclude that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\{g_{ij}^\tau : \tau \in (K \times_\omega \mathbb{T})_n^\wedge \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq n_\tau\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(K)$. In the case, $n = 1$ we get a decomposition as in the lemma.

We still need to see that this isomorphism intertwines the given representations. But the computation

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_K^\omega(l)g_{v,w}^\sigma)(k) &= \omega(l, l^{-1}k)g_{v,w}^\sigma(l^{-1}k) \\ &= \omega(l, l^{-1}k)\sqrt{d_\sigma}(v \mid \sigma(l^{-1}k)w) \end{aligned}$$

which, since σ is an ω -representation, is

$$= \omega(l, l^{-1}k)\omega(l^{-1}, k)\sqrt{d_\sigma}(v \mid \sigma(l^{-1})\sigma(k)w)$$

which, since $\sigma(l^{-1}) = \omega(l, l^{-1})\sigma(l)^*$, is

$$= \omega(l, l^{-1}k)\omega(l^{-1}, k)\bar{\omega}(l, l^{-1})\sqrt{d_\sigma}(v \mid \sigma(l)^*\sigma(k)w)$$

which, since the cocycle identity implies $\omega(l, l^{-1}k)\omega(l^{-1}, k)\bar{\omega}(l, l^{-1}) = \omega(e, k) = 1$, is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sqrt{d_\sigma}(\sigma(l)v \mid \sigma(k)w) \\ &= g_{\sigma(l)v, w}^\sigma(k) \end{aligned}$$

shows that this isomorphism intertwines $\bigoplus_\sigma \sigma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma^*}$ and the left-regular ω -representation λ_K^ω . The computation involving the right-regular ω representation is similar, but less messy. \square

Remark 2.3. We can apply the above lemma to $\bar{\omega}$ and then use the bijection between \hat{K}_ω and $\hat{K}_{\bar{\omega}}$ to obtain a decomposition $L^2(K)$ with $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{H}_\sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ which intertwines $\bigoplus_\sigma \sigma^* \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma}$ with $\lambda_K^{\bar{\omega}}$ and $\bigoplus_\sigma 1_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma^*} \otimes \sigma$ with ρ_K^ω . This is the decomposition we will employ below.

We will need a twisted version of the absorption principle for regular representations of G . The proof is a straightforward calculation which we omit.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that ω and μ are multipliers on a locally compact group G . Let $V : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ be an ω -representation of G and $W : L^2(G, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ the unitary operator given by $(W\xi)(s) = V_s\xi(s)$ for $\xi \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ and $s \in G$. Then for all $s \in G$,*

$$W(V \otimes \rho_G^\mu)(s)W^* = 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \rho_G^{\omega\mu}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad W^*(V \otimes \lambda_G^\mu)(s)W = 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_G^{\omega\mu}(s).$$

In particular, $V \otimes \rho_G \cong 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \rho_G^\omega$ and $V \otimes \lambda_G \cong 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_G^\omega$.

Suppose now that K is a compact subgroup of a locally compact group G . If τ is a unitary representation of K on \mathcal{H}_τ , then the induced representation U^τ acts by left-translation on the Hilbert space $L^2(G \times_{K,\tau} \mathcal{H})$ of (almost everywhere equivalence classes of) square integrable functions from G to \mathcal{H}_τ satisfying $\xi(sk) = \tau(k^{-1})(\xi(s))$ for all $s \in G$ and $k \in K$. Alternatively, we can realize $L^2(G \times_{K,\tau} \mathcal{H})$ as L^2 -sections of the vector bundle $K \backslash (G \times \mathcal{H}_\tau)$ for the diagonal action $k \cdot (g, v) = (gk^{-1}, \tau(k)(v))$. In particular, the isomorphism $U : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G \times_{G,\lambda_K} L^2(K))$, given by $(U\xi)(s)(k) = \xi(sk)$ for $s \in G$ and $k \in K$, intertwines the left-regular representation λ_G of G with U^{λ_K} . It also intertwines the restriction of the right-regular representation $\rho_G|_K$ with the pointwise action of the right regular representation ρ_K of K on the elements of $L^2(G \times_{G,\lambda_K} L^2(K))$.

Thus if $V : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ is an ω -representation, we get a unitary

$$\tilde{U} : \mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G \times_{K,1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_K} (\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(K)))$$

which intertwines the representation $1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_G$ of G on $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)$ with the induced representation $U^{1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_K}$, as well as the representation $V \otimes \rho_G|_K$ with the representation of K given by the pointwise action of $V \otimes \rho_K$ on elements of $L^2(G \times_{K,1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_K} (\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(K)))$.

Let W be the unitary on $L^2(K, \mathcal{H})$ from Lemma 2.4 which intertwines $1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_K$ with $V \otimes \lambda_K^\omega$ and $V \otimes \rho_K$ with $1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \rho_K^\omega$. Then, after identifying $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(K)$ with $L^2(K, \mathcal{H})$, we can apply W pointwise to elements in $L^2(G \times_{K,1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_K} (\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(K)))$ to obtain a unitary

$$\tilde{W} : L^2(G \times_{K,1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_K} (\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(K))) \rightarrow L^2(G \times_{K,V \otimes \lambda_K^\omega} (\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(K)))$$

which intertwines the induced representations $U^{1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_K}$ and $U^{V \otimes \lambda_K^\omega}$ of G , and the pointwise acting ω -representation $V \otimes \rho_K$ with the pointwise acting ω -representation $1 \otimes \rho_K^\omega$.

Now we plug in the Peter-Weyl decomposition $L^2(K) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{H}_\sigma^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ of Lemma 2.2 (using Remark 2.3) together with the descriptions of λ_K^ω and ρ_K^ω with respect to this decomposition to obtain the following.

Proposition 2.5. *Let V be an ω -representation of a compact subgroup K of G on \mathcal{H} . Then there is a unitary*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}_\omega} L^2(G \times_{K,V \otimes \sigma^*} (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^*)) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma$$

which intertwines the ω -representations $V \otimes \rho_G|_K$ of K on $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)$ with the ω -representation $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}_\omega} 1_{L^2(G \times_K \mathcal{H}_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^*)} \otimes \sigma$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{K}(L^2(G \times_{K,V \otimes \sigma^*} (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^*))) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma}.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from the above discussion and the second from Remark 2.1. \square

Notation. Since the Hilbert spaces $L^2(G \times_{K,V \otimes \sigma^*} (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^*))$ are going to be ubiquitous in the sequel, we are going to introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{W}_{V,\sigma} := L^2(G \times_{K,V \otimes \sigma^*} (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^*))$$

in an attempt to make some of our formulas easier to parse.

Remark 2.6. The above proposition shows that the fixed-point algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}$ decomposes into blocks of compact operators such that each $\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega$ provides the block $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma})$ with multiplicity $d_\sigma := \dim \mathcal{H}_\sigma$. Therefore, as a C^* -algebra, the fixed-point algebra is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma})$. Thus there is a bijection

$$\text{ind}_K : \widehat{K}_\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)})^\wedge$$

which sends $\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega$ to the projection

$$\text{ind}_K \sigma : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma}).$$

Remark 2.7. By composing with the natural map of $U(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ onto $PU(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ each ω -representation σ determines a projective representation. If σ' is an ω' -representation representing the same projective representation, then $[\omega] = [\omega'] \in H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$. Thus we can speak of the collection of equivalence classes $\widehat{K}_{[\omega]}$ of irreducible projective representations with class $[\omega] \in H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$. (Of course, there is an obvious bijection of \widehat{K}_ω with $\widehat{K}_{[\omega]}$.) Thus if $B := \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, then an action $\beta : K \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ determines a class $[\omega] \in H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$ which does not depend on our choice of lift $V : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore, the previous discussion says we have an isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad (B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{\beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_{[\omega]}} \mathcal{K}_\sigma(\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma}),$$

for any choice of lift $V : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ for β . Moreover, as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))$, each summand $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma})$ appears with multiplicity d_σ .

In what follows, we call the summand $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma})$ in (2.3) the summand of $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{\beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G}$ of type σ .

As an immediate consequence of the above results (and conventions in Remark 2.6), we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is a strictly proper action of G on the continuous-trace C^* -algebra B with spectrum X . Let $[\omega_x] \in H^2(G_x, \mathbb{T})$ denote the Mackey obstruction of $\beta^x : G_x \rightarrow \text{Aut } B(x)$. Then there is a canonical bijection*

$$\text{ind}_x : \widehat{G}_{x, [\omega_x]} \rightarrow ((B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G_x, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G})^\wedge.$$

Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{G}_{x, [\omega_x]} & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}_x} & ((B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G_x, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G})^\wedge \\ \downarrow C_s & & \downarrow (\beta_{x, s} \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G(s)) \circ \\ \widehat{G}_{s \cdot x, [\omega_{s \cdot x}]} & \xrightarrow{\text{ind}_{s \cdot x}} & ((B(s \cdot x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G_{s \cdot x}, \beta^{s \cdot x} \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G})^\wedge \end{array}$$

commutes, where $\beta_{x, s} : B(x) \rightarrow B(s \cdot x)$ is the isomorphism given in (1.1) and C_s is given by $\sigma \mapsto s \cdot \sigma$ with $s \cdot \sigma(k) = \sigma(s^{-1}ks)$.

Proof. The only issue is the commutativity of the diagram. But (1.2) implies that conjugation of $\beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G$ by the isomorphism $\beta_{x, s} \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G(s)$ gives the action $\beta^{s \cdot x} \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G$. The rest follows from straightforward computations. \square

Recalling that every irreducible representation of a $C_0(Y)$ -algebra A factors through a fibre, so that $\widehat{A} = \coprod_{y \in Y} \widehat{A}(y)$, Theorem 1.2 and our Proposition 2.8 imply the following version of the Mackey-Green-Rieffel machine.

Theorem 2.9 (Mackey-Green-Rieffel). *Suppose that $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is a strictly proper action on the continuous-trace C^* -algebra with spectrum X . For each $x \in X$, let $[\omega_x] \in H^2(G_x, \mathbb{T})$ be the Mackey obstruction for $\beta^x : G_x \rightarrow \text{Aut } B(x)$ (where β^x is the induced action of the stabilizer subgroup G_x on the fibre $B(x)$). Let*

$$\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge := \{ (x, \sigma) : x \in X \text{ and } \sigma \in \widehat{G}_{x, [\omega_x]} \},$$

and let G act on $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ via $s \cdot (x, \sigma) := (s \cdot x, s \cdot \sigma)$ with $s \cdot \sigma(k) = \sigma(s^{-1}ks)$. Then there is a surjective map

$$\text{Ind} : \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge \rightarrow (B \rtimes_\beta G)^\wedge \cong ((B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G, \beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G})^\wedge,$$

given by sending $(x, \sigma) \in \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ to the corresponding representation of the fibre $(B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G_x, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G}$ (as in Proposition 2.8), which factors through a bijection of $G \backslash \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ onto $(B \rtimes_\beta G)^\wedge$.

Remark 2.10. We should compare our version of the Mackey-Green-Rieffel machine with the classical approach. There we start with the irreducible representation $\pi_x : B \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_x)$ (essentially evaluation at x), and let $V_x : G_x \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_x)$ and $\omega_x \in Z^2(G_x, \mathbb{T})$ be as above. Then if $\sigma \in \widehat{G}_{x, \omega_x}$ we obtain an irreducible unitary representation $(\pi_x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_x^*}) \rtimes (V_x \otimes \sigma^*)$ of $B \rtimes_\beta G_x$ on $\mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^*$. Then we obtain an irreducible representation of $B \rtimes_\beta G$ via induction: $\text{Ind}_{G_x}^G((\pi_x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_x^*}) \rtimes (V_x \otimes \sigma^*))$. Both this induced representation and our $\text{ind}_x(\sigma)$ (as in Theorem 2.9) act on $L^2(G \times_{G_x, V_x \otimes \sigma^*} (\mathcal{H}_x \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma^*)) = \mathcal{W}_{V_x, \sigma}$. It is not difficult to check that the two representations are the same.

In order to understand the topology on $(B \rtimes_\beta G)^\wedge$, we will need to compare $(B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{G_x, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G}$ with the fixed point algebra $(B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{L, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G}$ for a closed subgroup L of G_x . To simplify the notation, we consider the following set-up. We suppose that L is a closed subgroup of a compact subgroup K of G and $\omega \in Z^2(K, \mathbb{T})$. We let $V : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ be an ω -representation with $\beta := \text{Ad } V$. Then we may restrict everything to L so that the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{L, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}$. Thus any block $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma})$ of type $\sigma \in \widehat{K}_{[\omega]}$ of the fixed-point algebra for the K -action must be contained in a block $\mathcal{K}(L^2(G \times_{L, V \otimes \tau^*} (\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}_\tau^*))) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{W}_{V, \tau})$ of type $\tau \in \widehat{L}_{[\omega]}$ in the fixed-point algebra for the L -action. We aim to determine how many blocks of a given type σ line a block of type τ .

Towards this end, we note that Proposition 2.5 implies that we can decompose $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)$ as

$$(2.4) \quad \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\sigma.$$

Furthermore, the decomposition in (2.4) is such that $V \otimes \rho_G$ is intertwined with the ω -representation $\bigoplus_{\sigma} 1_{\mathcal{W}_{V, \sigma}} \otimes \sigma$. For each $\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega$, we can decompose $\sigma|_L$ into a direct sum $\bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{L}_\omega} m_\tau^\sigma \cdot \tau$ for appropriate multiplicities m_τ^σ . Thus $\mathcal{H}_\sigma =$

$\bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{L}_\omega} \mathcal{H}_{m_\tau^\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\tau$, and (2.4) becomes

$$(2.5) \quad \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{L}_\omega} \left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{W}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m_\tau^\sigma} \right) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\tau,$$

and the restriction of $V \otimes \rho_G$ to L is given by

$$(V \otimes \rho_G)|_L \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{L}_\omega} 1_{\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{W}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m_\tau^\sigma}} \otimes \tau.$$

This induces an isomorphism of the fixed-point algebra

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{L, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)} \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{L}_\omega} \mathcal{K} \left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{W}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m_\tau^\sigma} \right) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}.$$

Since the decomposition of $(V \otimes \rho_G)|_L$ into isotopes \mathcal{K}_τ for the representations $\tau \in \widehat{L}_\omega$ is unique, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{K}_\tau = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{W}_{V,\tau}) \cong \mathcal{K} \left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega} \mathcal{W}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m_\tau^\sigma} \right),$$

where as above, we have written $\mathcal{W}_{V,\tau}$ for $L^2(G \times_{L, V \otimes \tau^*} (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\tau^*))$.

Summing up, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.11. *In the above setting, each block of type $\sigma \in \widehat{K}_\omega$ in the decomposition of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}$ appears with multiplicity m_τ^σ in each block of type $\tau \in \widehat{L}_\omega$ in the decomposition of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{L, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}$, where m_τ^σ is the multiplicity of τ in $\sigma|_L$.*

We will also need to know how exterior equivalence effects our fixed point algebras. Recall that $\alpha, \beta : L \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ are called exterior equivalent if there is a strictly continuous map $u : L \rightarrow U(A)$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha(l) = \text{Ad}(u)(l) \circ \beta(l) \quad \text{and} \quad u(lk) = u(l)\beta_l(u(k)) \quad \text{for all } k, l \in L.$$

In our case, $A = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and the map u in (2.7) is a strongly continuous map into $U(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\alpha = \text{Ad}(uV)$. Since G is second countable, we can choose a Borel cross-section $c : G/L \rightarrow G$ and decompose $L^2(G) \cong L^2(G/L) \otimes L^2(L)$ by sending $\xi \in L^2(G)$ to the element $\tilde{\xi} \in L^2(G/L) \otimes L^2(L) \cong L^2(G/L \times L)$ given by $\tilde{\xi}(sL, l) = \xi(c(sL)l)$. Then we obtain a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G) \cong L^2(G/L) \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(L)$$

which intertwines the representation $(V \otimes \rho_G)|_L$ with the representation $1_{L^2(G/L)} \otimes (V \otimes \rho_L)$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.4 (applied twice), after identifying $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(L)$ with $L^2(L, \mathcal{H})$, that the unitary $W : L^2(L, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(K, \mathcal{H})$ given by

$$(2.8) \quad (W\xi)(l) := V(l)^* u(l)^* V(l)\xi(l)$$

intertwines $V \otimes \rho_L$ and $uV \otimes \rho_L$. Therefore, we get the following result.

Lemma 2.12. *Let W be as above and let $\tilde{W} \in U(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))$ corresponding to $1_{L^2(G/L)} \otimes W$ under the decomposition $L^2(G) \cong L^2(G/L) \otimes L^2(L)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{L, \text{Ad}(uV \otimes \rho_G)} \cong \tilde{W}^* (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))^{L, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}) \tilde{W}^*.$$

3. THE SPACE $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$

Our object in this section is to equip $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ with a natural topology so that the induction map $\text{Ind} : \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge \rightarrow (B \rtimes_\beta G)^\wedge$ of Theorem 2.9 induces a homeomorphism of the quotient topological space $G \backslash \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ onto $(B \rtimes_\beta G)^\wedge$ for any strictly proper action β of G on a continuous-trace C^* -algebra B with spectrum X .

First, we need some general observations. Let A be a $X \rtimes G$ -algebra with respect to $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } A$ so that we can form the generalized fixed point algebra $A^{G,\alpha}$, and recall that $A^{G,\alpha}$ is a $C_0(G \backslash X)$ -algebra. If $q : X \rightarrow G \backslash X$ is the orbit map, we can form the pull-back

$$q^* A^{G,\alpha} = C_0(X) \otimes_{C_0(G \backslash X)} A^{G,\alpha}.$$

Using the description of the primitive ideal space from [24, Lemma 1.1], it is easy to identify the fibre $q^* A^{G,\alpha}(x)$ with $A^{G,\alpha}(G \cdot x)$. Recall that Lemma 1.1 implies $A^{G,\alpha}(G \cdot x)$ is isomorphic to $A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x}$ via evaluation at x .

We let

$$A_{\text{fix}} := \{ a \in A = \Gamma_0(X, \mathcal{A}) : a(x) \in A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x} \text{ for all } x \}.$$

Then A_{fix} is a $C_0(X)$ -subalgebra of A . In fact it is G -invariant. To see this, let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{fix}}$ and $b := \alpha_s(a)$ for some $s \in G$. Let $k \in G_x$. Appealing to (1.1) and (1.2) as necessary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k^x(b(x)) &= \alpha_{x,k}(b(x)) = \alpha_{ks}(a)(x) \\ &= \alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, ks}(a(s^{-1} \cdot x)) \\ &= \alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, s} \circ \alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, s^{-1} ks}(a(s^{-1} \cdot x)) \end{aligned}$$

which, since $s^{-1}ks \in G_{s^{-1} \cdot x}$, $\alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, s^{-1} ks} = \alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, s}^{s^{-1} \cdot x}$ and $a \in A_{\text{fix}}$, is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, s}(a(s^{-1} \cdot x)) \\ &= \alpha_s(a)(x) = b(x). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Viewing $A^{G,\alpha} \subseteq \Gamma_b(X, \mathcal{A})$ as in Lemma 1.1, it follows that if $\phi \in C_0(X)$ and $a \in A^{G,\alpha}$, then $\phi \cdot a \in A_{\text{fix}}$ (where $(\phi \cdot a)(x) = \phi(x)a(x)$). In particular, A_{fix} is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra with fibres $A_{\text{fix}}(x) \cong A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x}$ via evaluation at x . Its spectrum can be identified with the set $\widehat{A}_{\text{fix}} = \{ (x, \pi) : x \in X \text{ and } \pi \in (A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x})^\wedge \}$. Furthermore, the induced G -action on \widehat{A}_{fix} is given by $s \cdot (x, \pi) = (s \cdot x, \pi \circ \alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, s})$.*

Proof. The first assertion is straightforward as any $a \in A^{G,\alpha}$ must satisfy $a(x) \in A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x}$. But evaluation at x clearly defines an injection of $A_{\text{fix}}(x)$ into $A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x}$. But if $a_0 \in A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x}$, then Lemma 1.1 implies that there is a $a \in A^{G,\alpha}$ such that $a(x) = a_0$. We let $\phi \in C_0(X)$ be such that $\phi(x) = 1$. Then $\phi \cdot a \in A_{\text{fix}}$ and $(\phi \cdot a)(x) = a_0$. Hence A_{fix} is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra with fibres as claimed. The remaining assertions are straightforward consequences of the fact that the spectrum of a $C_0(X)$ -algebra is the disjoint union of the spectrums of its fibres. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a $X \rtimes G$ -algebra as above. Then the map $\phi \otimes a \mapsto \phi \cdot a$ induces a $C_0(X)$ -isomorphism of the pull-back $q^* A^{G,\alpha}$ onto A_{fix} .*

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1, it is clear that $\phi \otimes a \mapsto \phi \cdot a$ induces a $C_0(X)$ -homomorphism which is an isomorphism on the fibres. Hence it is an isomorphism as claimed. \square

By Lemma 1.1, $A^{G,\alpha}$ is a $C_0(G \setminus X)$ -algebra with evaluation at x inducing an isomorphism of the fibres $A^{G,\alpha}(G \cdot x)$ with $A(x)^{G_x, \alpha^x}$. Hence the irreducible representations of $A^{G,\alpha}$ are given by pairs $[x, \pi]$ with $x \in X$ and $\pi \in (A^{G_x, \alpha^x})^\wedge$ so that $[x, \pi](a) = \pi(a(x))$. Furthermore $[x, \pi] = [y, \rho]$ exactly when $(y, \rho) = (s \cdot x, \pi \circ \alpha_{s^{-1} \cdot x, s})$. Combining this with Lemma 3.1, we see that the map $(x, \pi) \mapsto [x, \pi]$ induces a bijection of $G \setminus \widehat{A}_{\text{fix}}$ onto $(A^{G,\alpha})^\wedge$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a $X \rtimes G$ -algebra as above. Then the map $(x, \pi) \mapsto [x, \pi]$ induces a homeomorphism of $G \setminus \widehat{A}_{\text{fix}}$ and $(A^{G,\alpha})^\wedge$.*

Proof. There is a natural homomorphism of $A^{G,\alpha}$ into the multiplier algebra $M(A_{\text{fix}})$ and $[x, \pi]$ is just the restriction of the natural extension of (x, π) to $M(A_{\text{fix}})$. Hence $(x, \pi) \mapsto [x, \pi]$ is continuous by general nonsense (see [12, Proposition 9]).

Thus it will suffice to see that the map is open. For this, it suffices to show that if $[x_i, \pi_i] \rightarrow [x, \pi]$, then we can pass to a subsequence, relabel, and find $(y_i, \rho_i) \rightarrow (x, \pi)$ such that $[y_i, \rho_i] = [x_i, \pi_i]$ (see [30, Proposition 1.15]). However, since $A^{G,\alpha}$ is a $C_0(G \setminus X)$ -algebra, we must have $G \cdot x_i \rightarrow G \cdot x$, and after passing to a subsequence, relabeling and adjusting the π_i as necessary, we can assume that $x_i \rightarrow x$. But [24, Lemma 1.1] implies that

$$(q^* A^{G,\alpha})^\wedge = \{ (x, [y, \rho]) \in X \times (A^{G,\alpha})^\wedge : y \in G \cdot x \}$$

has the relative product topology. Hence $(x_i, [x_i, \pi_i]) \rightarrow (x, [x, \pi])$ in $(q^* A^{G,\alpha})^\wedge$. But the isomorphism of $q^* B^{G,\alpha}$ with A_{fix} given in Proposition 3.2 intertwines $(x, [x, \pi])$ with (x, π) . Hence we must have $(x_i, \pi_i) \rightarrow (x, \pi)$ in \widehat{A}_{fix} . \square

We want to apply the previous discussion to $(A, \alpha) = (B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)), \beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G)$. Proposition 2.8 implies that the map $(x, \sigma) \mapsto (x, \text{ind}_x \sigma)$ is a G -equivariant map of $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ onto $((B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}})^\wedge$. This gives us a natural choice of a topology for $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$.

Definition 3.4. We equip $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ with the topology making its identification with $((B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}})^\wedge$ a homeomorphism.

Having made this definition, our desired description of the spectrum of $B \rtimes_\beta G$ follows immediately from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that B is a strictly proper continuous-trace C^* -algebra with spectrum X . Then the map*

$$\text{Ind} : \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge \rightarrow (B \rtimes_\beta G)^\wedge$$

is continuous and open, and hence factors through a homeomorphism of $G \setminus \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ with $(B \rtimes_\beta G)^\wedge$.

4. ACTIONS OF COUNTABLE GROUPS AND LIE GROUPS

Our Theorem 3.5 reduces the problem of understanding the topology on the spectrum of $B \rtimes_\beta G$ to understanding the topology of $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$. In this section we want to give a nice description of this topology first in the case that G is discrete, and then in the case $X = \widehat{B}$ is a manifold and G is Lie group acting smoothly on X .

Before stating our results, we need to recall some common terminology regarding projective representations and the corresponding ω -representations. First if τ is an ω' -representation and σ is an ω -representation of the group K , then we say τ is a *subrepresentation* of σ (written $\tau \leq \sigma$) if $[\omega] = [\omega']$ in $H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$ and if $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a Borel map such that $\omega = \delta f \cdot \omega'$, then the ω -representation $\tau' := f \cdot \tau$ is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of σ . Note also that if L is a closed subgroup of K and if $\omega \in Z^2(K, \mathbb{T})$, then the class of $\omega|_L$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{T})$ depends only on the class of ω in $H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$.

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is a strictly proper action of a countable group G on a separable continuous-trace C^* -algebra B with spectrum X . Then $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ if and only if*

- (a) $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , and
- (b) *there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$ we have $G_{x_n} \subseteq G_x$ and $\sigma_n \leq \sigma|_{G_{x_n}}$.*

We say that a Lie group action $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ on a C^* -algebra B with spectrum X is *differentiable* if X is a manifold and each $s \in G$ acts by a diffeomorphism on X .

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is a strictly proper differentiable action of a Lie group G on a separable continuous-trace C^* -algebra B . Then $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ if and only if*

- (a) $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , and
- (b) *each subsequence of $\{(x_n, \sigma_n)\}$ has a subsequence $\{(y_l, \rho_l)\}$ such that there is a sequence $\{s_l\}$ in G such that $s_l \rightarrow e$ in G and a closed subgroup $L \subseteq G_x$ such that for all l ,*
 - (i) $G_{s_l \cdot y_l} = s_l G_{y_l} s_l^{-1} = L$, and
 - (ii) $s_l \cdot \rho_l \leq \sigma|_L$.

First some preliminary observations. Recall that if D is a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, then $D \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{D}} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$. Let p_τ be the projection in $M(D)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$. If C is a C^* -subalgebra of D , then $\tau|_C$ maps C onto $C_\tau := p_\tau C p_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$. If we decompose $C_\tau \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{C}_\tau} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$, then \widehat{C}_τ can be identified with those $\sigma \in \widehat{C}$ which appear as subrepresentations of $\tau|_C$; that is, $\widehat{C}_\tau = \{\sigma \in \widehat{C} : \sigma \leq \tau|_C\}$. We want to apply these observations to the following situation.

Example 4.3. Let L be a closed subgroup of a compact subgroup K of G . Suppose that $V : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ is an ω -representation. Then

$$D := (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))^{L, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))$ which contains the fixed-point algebra

$$C := (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}.$$

Thus if we let $\text{ind}_K : \widehat{K}_\omega \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ and $\text{ind}_L : \widehat{L}_\omega \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ be the bijections from Remark 2.6, then after combining the above considerations with Proposition 2.11, we see that

$$\text{ind}_K \sigma \leq (\text{ind}_L \tau)|_C \iff \tau \leq \sigma|_L.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathbb{N}_∞ be the one-point compactification of \mathbb{N} and let $C \subseteq D \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be C^* -subalgebras. Let*

$$A := \{f \in C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, D) : f(\infty) \in C\}.$$

Then $\widehat{A} = (\mathbb{N} \times \widehat{D}) \amalg \widehat{C}$ and a sequence $\{(n, \rho_n)\}$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \widehat{D}$ converges to $\sigma \in \widehat{C}$ if and only if there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma \leq \rho_n|_C$ for all $n \geq N$.

Proof. Assume that $(n, \rho_n) \rightarrow \sigma$ in \widehat{A} . If the assertion in the lemma is false, then we can pass to a subsequence, relabel, and assume that for all n , $\sigma \not\leq \rho_n|_C$.

Let $B = C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, C)$ viewed as a subalgebra of A . Since restriction gives a continuous map from $\text{Rep}(A) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(B)$ in the Fell topology (see [6, §1.2]), we have $(n, \rho_n)|_C \rightarrow \sigma$ in $\text{Rep}(B)$. Then, identifying C with the constant functions in $C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, C)$, we see that $\rho_n|_C \rightarrow \sigma$ in $\text{Rep}(C)$. Since $\rho_n|_C$ decomposes as a direct sum of irreducibles, [29, Theorem 2.2] implies that, after passing to a subsequence and relabeling, we can find irreducible subrepresentations $\sigma_n \leq \rho_n|_C$ such that $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ in \widehat{C} . Since \widehat{C} is clearly discrete, we eventually have $\sigma_n = \sigma$ which is a contradiction.

Conversely, assume that $\sigma \leq \rho_n|_C$ for all $n \geq N$. Let $a \in C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, D)$ be such that $(n, \rho_n)(a) = \rho_n(a(n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to see that $a(\infty) = 0$. Let $\tilde{a} \in A$ be the constant function with value $a(\infty)$. Then $\|a(n) - \tilde{a}(n)\| \rightarrow 0$ with n . Since σ is a subrepresentation of $\rho_n|_C$, this implies that

$$\|\sigma(a(\infty))\| \leq \|\rho_n(a(\infty))\| = \|\rho_n(a(n) - \tilde{a}(n))\| \leq \|a(n) - \tilde{a}(n)\|$$

for any $n \geq N$. Hence $\sigma(a(\infty)) = 0$. Since we can apply this to any subsequence of $\{(n, \rho_n)\}$, it follows that $(n, \rho_n) \rightarrow \sigma$ as claimed. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is a strictly proper action of a second countable locally compact group on a separable, stable continuous-trace C^* -algebra B with spectrum X . Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X converging to x such that*

- (a) *for all $n \neq m$, $x_n \neq x_m \neq x$, and*
- (b) *there is a fixed subgroup $L \subseteq G_x := G_x := K$ such that $G_{x_n} = L$ for all n .*

Moreover, let $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be an irreducible representation of B corresponding to x and let $V : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ be an ω -representation implementing the action of K on $B(x) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Let

$$C := (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))^{K, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)} \quad \text{and} \quad D = (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))^{L, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)}.$$

Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, after identifying $S = \{x_n : n \geq N\} \cup \{x\}$ with \mathbb{N}_∞ , we get an isomorphism of

$$(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}, S} \quad \text{with} \quad \{a \in C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, D) : a(\infty) \in C\},$$

where $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}, S}$ is the restriction of the $C_0(X)$ -algebra $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}}$ to the closed subset S of X .

Proof. Let B_S be the restriction of B to $S \cong \mathbb{N}_\infty$. Since B is a stable continuous-trace C^* -algebra, $B_S \cong C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ and the isomorphism transports the actions β^{x_n} on $L = G_{x_n}$ on $B(x_n)$ to appropriate actions β^n of L on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Also let β^∞ be the restriction of the given K -action β^x on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ to L . We obtain a $C(\mathbb{N}_\infty)$ -linear action β^L of L on $C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ by $\beta_l^L(a)(n) = \beta^n(a(n))$. Since every \widehat{L}_{ab} -bundle over \mathbb{N}_∞ is trivial, it follows from [11, Theorem 5.4] that β^L is classified up to exterior equivalence by the continuous Mackey-obstruction map $y \mapsto [\omega_y] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{T})$ on \mathbb{N}_∞ . Since L is compact, $H^2(L, \mathbb{T})$ is discrete (combine [16, Corollary 1] with the results at the beginning of [17, Chapter III] which imply that $H^2(L, \mathbb{T})$ is a countable, locally compact Hausdorff group, hence discrete). Thus we can assume that we have taken N large enough so that $[\omega_y] = [\omega|_L]$ for all y (where ω is as in the statement of the lemma). It follows from [11, Theorem 5.4] that β^L is exterior equivalent to the action defined by the constant field $\alpha = \text{id}_{C(\mathbb{N}_\infty)} \otimes \text{Ad } V|_L$. Thus there is a continuous map $u : L \times \mathbb{N}_\infty \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$, $\beta_l^n = \text{Ad}(u(l, y) \cdot V_l)$ and

$$(4.1) \quad u(lk, y) = u(l, y)V_l u(k, y)V_l^* \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), y \in \mathbb{N}_\infty \text{ and } l, k \in L.$$

Since $\beta^\infty = \text{Ad } V = \text{Ad}(u(\cdot, \infty)V)$, it follows that $u(l, \infty) \in \mathbb{T}I_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all l . Then (4.1) implies that $l \mapsto u(l, \infty)$ is a character. Multiplying each $u(\cdot, y)$ by the inverse of this character allows us to assume that $u(l, \infty) = 1$ for all l .

After identifying S with \mathbb{N}_∞ , we have, by definition,

$$(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}, S} \cong \{a \in C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))) : \\ a(y) \in (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))^{G_y, \text{Ad}(u(\cdot, y)V \otimes \rho_G)} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{N}_\infty\},$$

with $G_y = L$ if $y \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G_\infty = K$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $W_n : L^2(G, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ be the unitary from Lemma 2.12 corresponding to the cocycle $l \mapsto u(l, n)$ from L into $U(\mathcal{H})$. It then follows – see (2.8) and recall that u is strongly continuous with $u(l, \infty) = 1$ for all l – that the sequence $\{W_n\}$ converges strongly to 1. Thus, if we define W_∞ to be 1, we get an isomorphism $\Phi : C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))$ given by

$$\Phi(a)(y) = W_y a(y) W_y \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{N}_\infty.$$

Lemma 2.12 implies that Φ maps $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}, S}$ onto

$$\{a \in C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G))) : \\ a(y) \in (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))^{G_y, \text{Ad}(V \otimes \rho_G)} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{N}_\infty\},$$

which in the notation of the lemma, is exactly $\{a \in C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, D) : a(\infty) \in C\}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If β is a strictly proper action on B , then $\beta \otimes 1$ is a strictly proper action on $B \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, the corresponding “fix” algebras, $(B \otimes L^2(G))_{\text{fix}}$ and $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes L^2(G))_{\text{fix}}$ are Morita equivalent in such a way that the identification of the spectrum with $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ is preserved. Thus we may as well assume from the onset that B is stable (so that we can apply Lemma 4.5 when appropriate).

Now suppose that $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$. Since $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}}$ is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra, we must have $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X . Since G is discrete, the action of G on X satisfies Palais’s slice property (see Remark 4.12 and [20, Case 3 of Proposition 2.3.1]). Hence we may assume that there is an open neighborhood U

of x such that $G_y \subseteq G_x$ for all $y \in U$. Thus there is an N such that $n \geq N$ implies that $G_{x_n} \subseteq G_x$. Hence it will suffice to assume that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $G_{x_n} \subseteq G_x$ for all n and show that the failure of condition (b) in the statement of the theorem results in a contradiction.

Since G_x must be a finite group, it can have only finitely many subgroups. Thus we can pass to a subsequence and assume that there is a subgroup L of $K := G_x$ such that $G_{x_n} = L$ for all n and such that condition (b) fails for this sequence. Let $S = \{x_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{x\}$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we obtain a $C(S)$ -linear action of L on $C(S, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$. The Mackey obstruction at x for this action is given by the restriction of the Mackey obstruction $[\omega_x]$ of K to L . Since $x_n \mapsto [\omega_{x_n}]$ is continuous [11, Lemma 5.3], we have $[\omega_{x_n}] \rightarrow [\omega|_L]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{T})$. But as L is finite, $H^2(L, \mathbb{T})$ is finite and there is an N such that $n \geq N$ implies that $[\omega_{x_n}] = [\omega|_L]$. We'll assume that this holds for all n . Since we're assuming condition (b) fails, there is no N such that $\sigma_n \leq \sigma|_L$ for all $n \geq N$. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $\sigma_n \not\leq \sigma|_L$ for all n . The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is either eventually constant or we can pass to a subsequence which, after relabeling, satisfies $x_n \neq x_m \neq x$ for all $n \neq m$. In the eventually constant case, we have $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ in the discrete set $\widehat{K}_\omega \cong (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G)))^{K, \beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho}$. But this implies that we eventually have $\sigma_n = \sigma$ which contradicts $\sigma_n \not\leq \sigma$. Otherwise we are in the situation of Lemma 4.5 and we can assume that $(n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (\infty, \sigma)$ in $\{a \in C(\mathbb{N}_\infty, D) : a(\infty) \in C\}$ with C and D as in Lemma 4.5. Then a combination of Lemma 4.4 and Example 4.3 gives a contradiction. Thus we have proved the forward implication in the Theorem.

To prove the converse, let (x_n, σ_n) and (x, σ) satisfy conditions (a) and (b) in the Theorem. It suffices to show that every subsequence of $\{(x_n, \sigma_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to (x, σ) . Since every subsequence will still satisfy (a) and (b), it will suffice to see that the given sequence has a subsequence converging to (x, σ) . But either $\{x_n\}$ must contain a constant subsequence equal to x everywhere, or it has a subsequence satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 4.5. In the case of a constant subsequence, condition (b) translates to $\sigma_n = \sigma$ for all sufficiently large n and this certainly implies convergence in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$. In the second case, we can appeal to Lemma 4.5 which allows us to combine Lemma 4.4 with Example 4.3 to show that $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}, S} \cong \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$. \square

We need a few more preliminaries before starting the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that B is the section algebra of a continuous field of C^* -algebras over X , that K is a compact subgroup of a second countable locally compact group G and that $\beta : K \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is an action of K on B . Then the algebras $A_{\text{fix}}^K := \{b \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(K)) : b(x) \in (B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(K)))^{K_x, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_K}\}$ and $A_{\text{fix}}^G := \{b \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)) : b(x) \in (B(x) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))^{K_x, \beta^x \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G}\}$ are stably isomorphic.*

Proof. We will show that $A_{\text{fix}}^G \cong A_{\text{fix}}^K \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G/K))$ when G/K is equipped with the unique G -invariant measure such that $\int_G f(s) ds = \int_{G/K} \int_K f(sk) dk ds$. Since G is second countable, there is a locally bounded Borel section for the quotient map $q : G \rightarrow G/K$. Then c induces a Borel isomorphism of $G/K \times K$ onto G given by $(sK, k) \mapsto c(s)k$. In turn, this induces an isomorphism $\Phi : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G/K) \otimes L^2(K)$ given on continuous functions with compact support by $\Phi(\xi)(sK, k) = \xi(c(s)k)$. It is clear that Φ transforms the restriction of the right-regular representation ρ_G to K to $1 \otimes \rho_K$. Thus we get an isomorphism of

$B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ onto $B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(K)) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G/K))$ which transforms $\beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_G|_K$ with $\beta \otimes \text{Ad } \rho_K \otimes \text{id}$. From this it is clear that $A_{\text{fix}}^G \cong A_{\text{fix}}^K \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G/K))$. \square

Remark 4.7. The second countability assumption in Lemma 4.6 is not necessary — one always has a locally bounded Baire section and we could proceed as in [30, §4.5] — but the extra generality is unnecessary here so we omit the details.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that $[\omega] \in H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$ for some compact group K and let $\sigma : K \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ be an ω -representation of K . Suppose that L is a closed subgroup of K and $\rho : L \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_\rho)$ is an $\omega|_L$ -representation of L such that $\rho \leq \sigma|_L$. For any $k \in K$, let $k \cdot \rho : kLk^{-1} \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)$ be given by $k \cdot \rho(klk^{-1}) = \rho(l)$ for all $l \in L$. Then $k \cdot \rho$ is a $\omega|_{kLk^{-1}}$ -representation with $k \cdot \rho \leq \sigma|_{kLk^{-1}}$.*

Proof. The result follows by applying the inner automorphism $C_k : K \rightarrow K$ given by $C_k(l) = klk^{-1}$ to σ and observing that $k \cdot \sigma = \sigma \circ C_k$ is equivalent to σ . \square

An action of a group on a space X is said to have *locally finitely many orbit types* if for each $x \in X$ there is an open G -invariant neighborhood U_x of x and a finite set of conjugacy classes of subgroups of G such that each stabilizer for the action on G on U_x lies in one of these conjugacy classes. A highly nontrivial, but classical result (see, for example, [1, Chap. VI and VII]) implies that differentiable actions of compact Lie groups on Manifolds have locally finitely many orbit types.

Lemma 4.9. *Let K be a second countable compact group acting on a separable stable continuous-trace C^* -algebra B with spectrum X . Suppose that K fixes $x \in X$ and that the action has finitely many orbit types. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{K}_{\omega_x} = \widehat{K}_{x, \omega_x}$. Then $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ if and only if*

- (a) $x_n \rightarrow x$, and
- (b) *there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_{x_n} \leq \sigma|_{K_{x_n}}$ for all $n \geq N$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$. Then we certainly have $x_n \rightarrow x$. If condition (b) fails, we can pass to a subsequence such that $\sigma_{x_n} \not\leq \sigma|_{K_{x_n}}$ for all n . Since there are only finitely many orbit types, we can take this subsequence so that all the stability groups are conjugate. Thus, we can also assume that there are $s_n \in K$ such that $K_{s_n \cdot x}$ is a constant subgroup \tilde{L} . Since K is compact, we can assume that $s_n \rightarrow s \in K$. Let $h_n = s^{-1}s_n$ and let $L = s\tilde{L}s^{-1}$. Since the action of conjugation on $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ is continuous, we see that $(h_n \cdot x_n, h_n \cdot \sigma_n) := (y_n, \rho_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$. Passing to another subsequence, we assume that either $y_n = x$ for all n or that $y_n \neq y_m \neq x$ for all $n \neq m$. Just as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we can appeal to Lemma 4.5 to conclude that we eventually have $[\omega_{y_n}] = [\omega_x|_L]$ and $\rho_n \leq \sigma|_L$. But then Lemma 4.8 gives a contradiction.

Conversely, if (a) and (b) hold, then we can use similar arguments as in Theorem 4.1 to reduce to the situation of Lemma 4.5 and complete the proof. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ is a dynamical system such that there is a G -equivariant map $\phi : \widehat{B} \rightarrow G/H$. (Recall that the G -action on \widehat{B} is given by $s \cdot \pi = \pi \circ \beta_s^{-1}$.) Let $Z := \phi^{-1}(\{eH\}) \subseteq \widehat{B}$. Then \widehat{B} is G -homeomorphic to $G \times_H Z := H \backslash (G \times Z)$ via the map ψ sending $[s, \pi]$ to $s \cdot \pi$. (The action of H on $G \times Z$ is given by $h \cdot (s, \pi) = (sh^{-1}, h \cdot \pi)$.)*

Proof. This follows easily from [3, 4] or [30, Proposition 3.53]. (See [7, Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.3] for more details.) \square

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose that K is a compact group acting on a topological space Y , and suppose that K fixes $y \in Y$. Let $\{y_i\}$ be a net in Y such that $K \cdot y_i \rightarrow K \cdot y$ in $K \backslash Y$. Then the net $\{y_i\}$ converges to y in Y .*

Proof. If the assertion is false, then after passing to a subnet and relabeling, we can assume that there is a neighborhood U of y such that $y_i \notin U$ for all i . But since the orbit map is open, we may as well assume that there are $k_i \in K$ such that $k_i \cdot y_i \rightarrow y$. Since K is compact, we can even assume that $k_i \rightarrow k$. But then $y_i = k_i^{-1} k_i \cdot y_i \rightarrow k^{-1} \cdot y = y$. Hence y_i is eventually in U which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 4.12 (Palais's Slice Property). As in [8, Definition 1.7], we say that a group G acting properly on a locally compact space X satisfied property (SP) (for Palais's slice property) if X is *locally induced from stabilizers* in that each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U_x such that there is a closed G_x -invariant set $S_x \subseteq U_x$ such that $x \in S_x$ and such that the induced space $G \times_{G_x} S_x$ is G -homeomorphic to U_x via the map $[s, y] \mapsto s \cdot y$. Note that Lemma 4.11 implies that finding S_x is equivalent to finding a continuous G -map $\phi_x : U_x \rightarrow G/G_x$ with $S_x = \phi_x^{-1}(\{eG_x\})$. (In the case $U_x = G \times_{G_x} S_x$, we can define such a map by sending $[s, y] \mapsto sG_x$.) We call S_x a *local slice at x* .

Palais's Slice Theorem ([20, Theorem 2.3.3]) implies that every proper action of a Lie group on a locally compact space has property (SP). Moreover, if G acts differentiably on a manifold X , then S_x can be taken to be a submanifold of U_x such that the action of G_x on S_x is differentiable. This follows from the construction of the slice in [20, §2.2] (combine the first lemma of [20, §2.2] with [20, Proposition 2.1.7]). Hence the action of G_x on S_x , and therefore the action of G on X , has locally finitely many orbit types.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can assume that B is stable. We let $\{(x_n, \sigma_n)\}$ be a sequence in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ and $(x, \sigma) \in \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. In view of Remark 4.12 above, we can also assume that $X \cong G \times_{G_x} Y$ for a local slice Y at x and that the corresponding action of G_x on Y has finitely many orbit types. Let $\phi = \phi_x : X \rightarrow G/G_x$ be the corresponding G -equivariant map. Then we get a G -equivariant map $\psi : \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge \rightarrow G/G_x$ by $\psi(z, \rho) = \phi(z)$. Let $Z := \psi^{-1}(\{eG_x\})$. Since $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ is G -equivariantly isomorphic to $(B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}}$, we obtain a G -homeomorphism

$$\Phi : G \times_{G_x} Z \rightarrow \text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$$

given by $\Phi([s, (y, \rho)]) = (s \cdot y, s \cdot \rho)$. Choose $s_n \in G$ and $(y_n, \rho_n) \in Z$ such that $(s_n^{-1} \cdot y_n, s_n^{-1} \cdot \rho) = (x_n, \sigma_n)$ for all n . Since Y is a slice at x , the map $G_x \cdot y \mapsto G \cdot y$ is a homeomorphism of $G_x \backslash Y$ onto $G \backslash X$. Since $G \cdot x_n \rightarrow G \cdot x$ and $G \cdot y_n = G \cdot x_n$, we must have $G_x \cdot y_n \rightarrow G_x \cdot x$. Thus $y_n \rightarrow x$ by Lemma 4.11.

Assume now that $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$. Then we certainly have $x_n \rightarrow x$, so we can assume the set-up in the previous paragraph. Then we claim that $(y_n, \rho_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ in $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$. Replacing $\{(y_n, \rho_n)\}$ by a subsequence, it suffices to see that a subsequence converges to (x, σ) . Since $y_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n = s_n^{-1} \cdot y_n \rightarrow x$, the properness of the action allows us to pass to a subsequence and relabel and assume that $s_n \rightarrow s \in G_x$. Then it follows from the continuity of the G -action on $\text{Stab}(X_\beta)^\wedge$ that $(y_n, \rho_n) = s_n^{-1} \cdot (x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow s^{-1} \cdot (x, \sigma) = (x, \sigma)$. This proves the claim.

We have $Z = ((B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G)))_{\text{fix}, Y})^\wedge$. On the other hand, G_x acts on $B|_Y$ and Lemma 4.6 implies that the corresponding space $\text{Stab}(Y_\beta)^\wedge \cong ((B \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(G_x)))_{\text{fix}})^\wedge$ is homeomorphic to Z . Thus it follows from Lemma 4.9 that for large n we have $[\omega_{s_n \cdot x_n}] = [\omega_{y_n}] = [\omega_x|_{G_x}] = [\omega_x|_{G_{s_n \cdot x_n}}]$ and $s_n \cdot \sigma_n = \rho_n \leq \sigma|_{G_{s_n \cdot x_n}}$. Thus condition (b) holds and we've established the forward implication of the theorem.

The converse follows by applying Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to the subsequence $\{(s_l \cdot y_l, s_l \cdot \rho_l)\}$. Since $G_{s_l \cdot y_l} = L \subseteq G_x$ and $s_l \cdot \rho_l \leq \sigma|_L$, it follows from those lemmas that $(s_l \cdot y_l, s_l \cdot \rho_l) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$. Thus condition (b) tells us that every subsequence of $\{(x_n, \sigma_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to (x, σ) . This implies $(x_n, \sigma_n) \rightarrow (x, \sigma)$ and completes the proof. \square

5. APPLICATION TO GROUP EXTENSIONS

In this section we want to study of the unitary dual \widehat{G} of a locally compact group G which fits into a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{q} K \longrightarrow 1$$

of locally compact groups in which N is abelian and K is compact. Following Green ([12] — but see [6, Chapter 1] for a survey) we may write the C^* -group algebra $C^*(G)$ as a twisted crossed product $C^*(N) \rtimes_{\alpha, \tau} (G, N) \cong C_0(\widehat{N}) \rtimes_{\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\tau}} (G, N)$ in which the action α is given by the conjugation action on the dense subalgebra $C_c(N) \subseteq C^*(N)$ and the twist $\tau : N \rightarrow UM(C^*(N))$ is given by the canonical inclusion map. Then $(\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\tau})$ is the twisted action on $C_0(\widehat{N})$ corresponding to (α, τ) via the Fourier isomorphism $C^*(N) \cong C_0(\widehat{N})$. Using a version of the Packer-Raeuburn stabilization trick (e.g., see [6, Chapter 2]) we see that the twisted system is Morita equivalent to an action β of the compact group $K = G/N$ on $B := C_0(\widehat{N}, \mathcal{K})$ which covers the conjugation action of K on \widehat{N} . Thus we are precisely in the situation of our general results.

Note that Morita equivalence of twisted actions induces a Morita equivalence of the twisted crossed products, hence a homeomorphism between the dual spaces of these crossed products. Moreover, it has been worked out in [6, Chapter 2] that passing to Morita equivalent twisted actions is compatible with the Mackey-Rieffel-Green machine of inducing representations from the stabilizers including the computation of the Mackey obstructions (see [6, Proposition 2.1.4 & 2.1.5]). Thus we see that \widehat{G} is homeomorphic to $G \backslash \text{Stab}(\widehat{N}_\beta)^\wedge$ with $\text{Stab}(\widehat{N}_\beta)^\wedge$ topologized as in Definition 3.4.

In order to get a description of the space $\text{Stab}(\widehat{N}_\beta)^\wedge$ we need to compute the Mackey-obstructions of the twisted system $(C_0(\widehat{N}), G, N, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\tau})$. Let $c : K \rightarrow G$ be a fixed choice of a Borel section for the quotient map $q : G \rightarrow K$ such that $c(eN) = e$, where e denotes the unit of G . Then, for each $\chi \in \widehat{N}$ we get a Borel extension $\tilde{\chi} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ of the character χ by putting

$$\tilde{\chi}(s) := \chi(c(q(s))^{-1}s).$$

If we restrict this map to the stabilizer

$$G_\chi = \{s \in G : \chi(sns^{-1}) = \chi(n) \text{ for all } n \in N\}$$

of the character χ in G , then we can check that $(\epsilon_\chi, \tilde{\chi})$, where $\epsilon_\chi : C_0(\widehat{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes evaluation at χ , is an ω_χ -covariant representation of the twisted system

$(C_0(\widehat{N}), G_\chi, N, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\tau})$, with multiplier ω_χ given by

$$(5.1) \quad \omega_\chi(s, t) = \tilde{\chi}(s)\tilde{\chi}(t)\tilde{\chi}(st)^{-1}.$$

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\chi(s, t) &= \chi(c(q(s))^{-1}s)\chi(c(q(t))^{-1}t)\chi(t^{-1}s^{-1}c(q(st))) \\ &= \chi(c(q(s))^{-1}s)\chi(c(q(t))^{-1}s^{-1}c(q(st))) \end{aligned}$$

which, since χ is invariant under conjugation with elements in G_χ , is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \chi(c(q(s))^{-1}s)\chi(s^{-1}c(q(st))c(q(t))^{-1}) \\ &= \chi(c(q(s))^{-1}c(q(st))c(q(t))^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that ω_χ factors through a cocycle on the stabilizer $K_\chi := G_\chi/N$ of χ in K and we obtain

$$\text{Stab}(\widehat{N}_\beta)^\wedge = \{ (\chi, \sigma) : \chi \in \widehat{N} \text{ and } \sigma \in \widehat{K}_{\chi, [\omega_\chi]} \}$$

with ω_χ as in the above computations. Now if K is a compact Lie group, we may apply Theorem 4.2 (or Theorem 4.1 if K is finite) to obtain a description of the topology on $\text{Stab}(\widehat{N}_\beta)^\wedge$ in terms of convergent sequences.

Example 5.1 (The group $G = \mathbf{p4g}$). We want to illustrate the above procedure in the particular example of the crystallographic group $G = \mathbf{p4g}$, which is the subgroup of the full motion group $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \text{O}(2)$ generated by $\{(n, E) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$ together with the elements

$$\{(0, R), (0, R^2), (0, R^3), (v, S), (v, SR), (v, SR^2), (v, SR^3)\}$$

where $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then G fits into an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow D_4 \longrightarrow 0$$

where $D_4 = \{E, R, R^2, R^3, S, SR, SR^2, SR^3\}$ is the dihedral group. The quotient map is given by projection on the second factor and we have an obvious section $c : D_4 \rightarrow G$ given by $c(X) = (0, X)$ if $X \in \langle R \rangle$ and $c(X) = (v, X)$ if $X \in S\langle R \rangle$. The conjugation action of $D_4 = G/\mathbb{Z}^2$ on $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{Z}^2$ is given by matrix multiplication; that is, if we write

$$\exp_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2; \exp_2 \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i s} \\ e^{2\pi i t} \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have

$$X \cdot \exp_2 \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \exp_2 \left(X \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

for $X \in D_4$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. This action has been studied in [8] (see Examples 2.6, 3.5 and 4.10 of that paper). In particular, it is shown in [8] that the image under the exponential map of the triangle

$$Z := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } 0 \leq s \leq t \right\}$$

is a topological fundamental domain for the action of D_4 on \mathbb{T}^2 in the sense that the mapping $Z \rightarrow D_4 \backslash \mathbb{T}^2; z \mapsto D_4 z$ is a homeomorphism. It follows from this that

$$\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge = \{ (\chi_z, \sigma) : z \in Z, \sigma \in \widehat{D}_{z, [\omega_z]} \}$$

is a topological fundamental domain for $\text{Stab}(\mathbb{T}_\beta^2)^\wedge$ for the action β of D_4 on $C(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{K})$ as described in the discussion above, where we write $\chi_z : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ for the character $\chi_z(n) = e^{2\pi i \langle z, n \rangle}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, D_z for the stabilizer of χ_z in D_4 and

ω_z for the Mackey-obstruction at χ_z as in (5.1). It therefore follows from Theorem 3.5 and the above considerations that \widehat{G} is homeomorphic to $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge$ (which we regard as a closed subset of $\text{Stab}(\mathbb{T}_\beta^2)^\wedge$).

We want to study the space $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge$ more closely. For this we first note that the action of D_4 on Z (or rather its image in \mathbb{T}^2) has the following stabilizers:

- $D_{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}} = \{E\}$ if $0 < s < t < \frac{1}{2}$;
- $D_{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ s \end{pmatrix}} = \langle SR^3 \rangle =: K_1$ if $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$;
- $D_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ t \end{pmatrix}} = \langle SR^2 \rangle =: K_2$ if $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}$;
- $D_{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}} = \langle S \rangle =: K_3$ if $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$;
- $D_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}} = \langle S, R^2 \rangle =: H$, and
- $D_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} = D_{\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}} = D_4$.

We need to compute the cocycles $\omega_z := \omega_{\chi_z}$ on the stabilizers D_z and the ω_z -representations of D_z for all $z \in Z$. Clearly, for z in the interior Z° of Z we have trivial stabilizers and the trivial representations on these stabilizers. As a consequence, the portion of $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge$ corresponding to Z° is homeomorphic to Z° .

To study the boundary points, we first observe that the cocycle $\partial c \in Z^2(D_4, \mathbb{Z}^2)$ for the cross-section $c : D_4 \rightarrow G$ can be computed as

$$\partial c(X, Y) = c(X)^{-1}c(XY)c(Y)^{-1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Y \in \langle R \rangle \\ X^{-1}v - v & \text{if } Y \in S\langle R \rangle, X \in \langle R \rangle \\ -(X^{-1}v + v) & \text{if } Y, X \in S\langle R \rangle. \end{cases}$$

If we plug this into the characters χ_z we get the following cocycles on the stabilizers:

CASE 1. On the interiors of the edges of Z with stabilizers K_1, K_2, K_3 we get the values

- $\omega_{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ s \end{pmatrix}}(SR^3, SR^3) = e^{-4\pi is}$ on $K_1 = \langle SR^3 \rangle$, $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$;
- $\omega_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ t \end{pmatrix}}(SR^2, SR^2) = e^{-2\pi it}$ on $K_2 = \langle SR^2 \rangle$, $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}$;
- $\omega_{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}}(S, S) = e^{2\pi is}$ on $K_3 = \langle S \rangle$, $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$;

(and all other values 1). Note that these cocycles, regarded as \mathbb{T} -valued cocycles, are cohomologous to the trivial one. In fact if u is any element of \mathbb{T} , if ϵ is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and if ω^u is the \mathbb{T} -valued cocycle given by $\omega^u(\epsilon, \epsilon) = u$ (and all other values 1), then $\omega^u = \partial\mu_w$ if $w \in \mathbb{T}$ is a square root of u and $\mu_w : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is given by $\mu_w(1) = 1$ and $\mu_w(\epsilon) = w$. It follows in particular that if w_1, w_2 are the two roots of u , then μ_{w_1}, μ_{w_2} are the two irreducible ω^u -representations of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This general description can be applied to the cocycles on the groups K_1, K_2, K_3 considered above.

It follows then from Theorem 4.1 that on each open line segment of ∂Z the corresponding portion of $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge$ is homeomorphic to $(0, 1) \times \{1, -1\}$. For example, on the segment $S_1 := \{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ s \end{pmatrix} : 0 < s < \frac{1}{2}\}$ the homeomorphism $(0, 1) \times \{1, -1\} \rightarrow \text{Stab}(S_1)^\wedge$ is given by $(t, \pm 1) \mapsto ((\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}), \mu_{t, \pm 1})$ with $\mu_{t, \pm 1}(SR^3) = \pm e^{\pi it}$ and similarly on $S_2 := \{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ t \end{pmatrix} : 0 < t < \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $S_3 := \{\begin{pmatrix} s \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} : 0 < s < \frac{1}{2}\}$.

CASE 2. For $z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ we get the trivial cocycle $\omega_{z_0} \equiv 1$ on D_4 and the ordinary unitary dual of D_4 which consists of the representations $\{\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \lambda\}$ in which $\{\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$ denote the characters of the commutative quotient $D_4/\langle R^2 \rangle \cong \langle \tilde{R} \rangle \times \langle \tilde{S} \rangle$ (where \tilde{R} and \tilde{S} denote the images of R and S in $D_4/\langle R^2 \rangle$) and $\lambda : D_4 \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the representation given by the inclusion $D_4 \subseteq U(2)$.

CASE 3. For $z_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $D_{z_1} = H = \langle R^2, S \rangle$ we get the values:

$$\omega_{z_1}(R^2, Y) = \omega_{z_1}(SR^2, Y) = -1$$

for $Y \in \{S, SR^2\}$ and 1 for all other values. Since ω_{z_1} takes its values in $C_2 := \{1, -1\}$, we may use the central extension $H \times_{\omega_{z_1}} C_2$ which, as a set, is the direct product $H \times C_2$ with multiplication given by

$$(X, u)(Y, v) = (XY, \omega_{z_1}(X, Y)uv)$$

for the study of the ω_{z_1} -representations of H . In fact, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible ω_{z_1} -representations σ of H and the irreducible unitary representations $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $H \times_{\omega_{z_1}} C_2$ which satisfy $\tilde{\sigma}(E, -1) = -1$ given as follows: if $\sigma \in \widehat{H}_{[\omega_{z_1}]}$ is given, the corresponding representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ is given by $\tilde{\sigma}(X, u) = u\sigma(X)$ and if $\tilde{\sigma}$ is given, then $\sigma(X) = \tilde{\sigma}(X, 1)$ is the corresponding projective representation of H .

Now a short computation shows that D_4 is isomorphic to $H \times_{\omega_{z_1}} C_2$ by sending R to $(SR^2, 1)$ and S to $(R^2, 1)$. If we then identify \widehat{D}_4 with $(H \times_{\omega_{z_1}} C_2)^\wedge$ via this isomorphism, we see that only the two-dimensional representation λ of D_4 corresponds to a representation of $H \times_{\omega_{z_1}} C_2$ which takes value -1 on $(E, -1)$. So we only get one (class) $[\sigma]$ of irreducible ω_{z_1} -representations of H given by $\sigma : H \rightarrow U(2)$,

$$\sigma(E) = 1, \quad \sigma(R^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(SR^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

CASE 4. Finally, for $z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$ we get the cocycle ω_{z_2} on D_4 with values

$$\omega_{z_2}(R, Y) = \omega_{z_2}(R^3, Y) = \omega_{z_2}(S, Y) = \omega_{z_2}(SR^2, Y) = -1$$

for all $Y \in S\langle R \rangle$ and 1 otherwise. To study the ω_{z_2} -representations of D_4 we proceed as above by studying the central extension $L := D_4 \times_{\omega_{z_2}} C_2$ and determine those representations $\tau \in \widehat{L}$ which satisfy $\tau(E, -1) = -1$. Let's denote this set by \widehat{L}^- . One checks that L is generated by the elements $(R, 1)$ and $(S, 1)$ and with a little work we see that \widehat{L}^- contains

- four one-dimensional representations ζ_0, \dots, ζ_3 given on these generators by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_0(R, 1) &= \zeta_0(S, 1) = i, \\ \zeta_1(R, 1) &= -\zeta_1(S, 1) = i, \\ \zeta_2(R, 1) &= -\zeta_2(S, 1) = -i, \\ \zeta_3(R, 1) &= \zeta_3(S, 1) = -i, \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

- one two-dimensional representation $\tau : L \rightarrow U(2)$ given on the generators by

$$\tau(R, 1) = S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(S, 1) = R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Restricting these representations to $D_4 \times \{1\} \subseteq L$ gives the desired ω_{z_2} -representations of D_4 (which we shall call by the same letters below).

By the above computations together with Theorem 4.1 we get the following description of $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge \cong \widehat{G}$ together with its topology: As a *set* we have the disjoint union

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge = & Z^\circ \amalg (S_1 \amalg S_2 \amalg S_3) \times \{1, -1\} \\ & \amalg \{z_0\} \times \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_4, \lambda\} \\ & \amalg \{z_1\} \times \{\sigma\} \\ & \amalg \{z_2\} \times \{\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_3, \tau\}. \end{aligned}$$

with topology restricted to the single ingredients the usual one. Moreover, Z° is open in $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge$ and if we approach any boundary point $\bar{z} \in \partial Z$ by a sequence $(z_n)_n \in Z^\circ$, then this sequence converges to every element in $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge$ which corresponds to \bar{z} .

Moreover, $(S_1 \amalg S_2 \amalg S_3) \times \{1, -1\}$ is open in $\text{Stab}(\partial Z)^\wedge$. Assume that $(z_n, u_n)_n$ is a sequence in this set such that z_n converges to one of the vertices z_0, z_1, z_2 . By passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $u_n = \pm 1$ is constant. Using Theorem 4.1 it is then very easy to describe the limit points of this sequence in $\text{Stab}(Z)^\wedge$.

We will work this out for the case where $z_n = \left(\frac{s_n}{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \in S_3$ and we leave the other cases to the reader. The identification $S_3 \times \{1, -1\} \cong \text{Stab}(S_3)^\wedge$ is given by the map $\left(\left(\frac{s}{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \pm 1\right) \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{s}{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \mu_{s, \pm 1}\right)$ with $\mu_{s, \pm 1}(S) = \pm e^{\pi i s}$. Since $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1}(S) = e^{\pi i \frac{1}{2}} = \pm i$ we easily get that $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}, 1} = \zeta_0|_{K_3} = \zeta_2|_{K_3}$ and $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}, -1} = \zeta_1|_{K_3} = \zeta_3|_{K_3}$. Moreover, $\tau(S) = R$ has the eigenvalues ± 1 , so that both, $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}, 1}$ and $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}, -1}$ are subrepresentations of $\tau|_{K_3}$. Thus, we see that the sequence $\left(\left(\frac{s_n}{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \mu_{s_n, 1}\right)_n$ converges to $(z_2, \zeta_0), (z_2, \zeta_2), (z_2, \tau)$ and $\left(\left(\frac{s_n}{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \mu_{s_n, -1}\right)_n$ converges to $(z_2, \zeta_1), (z_2, \zeta_3)$ and (z_2, τ) .

Similarly, if $s_n \rightarrow 0$, then $\mu_{0, \pm 1}(S) = \pm 1$. If σ is the unique irreducible ω_{z_1} -representation, we have $\sigma(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ which has eigenvalues ± 1 . thus we see that $\mu_{0, 1}$ and $\mu_{0, -1}$ are both sub representations of $\sigma|_{K_2}$, hence both sequences $\left(\left(\frac{s_n}{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \mu_{s_n, \pm 1}\right)_n$ converge to (z_1, σ) .

REFERENCES

- [1] Armand Borel, *Seminar on transformation groups*, With contributions by G. Bredon, E. E. Floyd, D. Montgomery, R. Palais. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 46, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960.
- [2] Anton Deitmar and Siegfried Echterhoff, *Principles of harmonic analysis*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [3] Siegfried Echterhoff, *On induced covariant systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 703–706.
- [4] ———, *Erratum to: “On induced covariant systems”* [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), no. 3, 703–706; MR 90f:46105], Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), 581.
- [5] ———, *The primitive ideal space of twisted covariant systems with continuously varying stabilizers*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), 59–84.
- [6] ———, *Crossed products with continuous trace*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1996), i–viii, 1–134.
- [7] ———, *Crossed products, the Mackey-Rieffel-Green machine and applications*, preprint, 2011. (arXiv:math.OA.1006.4975v2).

- [8] Siegfried Echterhoff and Heath Emerson, *Structure and K -theory for crossed products by proper actions*, Expo. Math. **29** (2011), 300–344.
- [9] Siegfried Echterhoff and Jonathan Rosenberg, *Fine structure of the Mackey machine for actions of abelian groups with constant Mackey obstruction*, Pacific J. Math. **170** (1995), 17–52.
- [10] Siegfried Echterhoff and Dana P. Williams, *Crossed products whose primitive ideal spaces are generalized trivial \widehat{G} -bundles*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), 269–294.
- [11] ———, *Locally inner actions on $C_0(X)$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **45** (2001), 131–160.
- [12] Philip Green, *The local structure of twisted covariance algebras*, Acta Math. **140** (1978), 191–250.
- [13] Astrid an Huef, Iain Raeburn, and Dana P. Williams, *An equivariant Brauer semigroup and the symmetric imprimitivity theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 4759–4787.
- [14] Steven Hurder, Dorte Olesen, Iain Raeburn, and Jonathan Rosenberg, *The Connes spectrum for actions of abelian groups on continuous-trace algebras*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **6** (1986), 541–560.
- [15] Eberhard Kirchberg and Simon Wassermann, *Operations on continuous bundles of C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 677–697.
- [16] Calvin C. Moore, *Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1964), 40–63.
- [17] ———, *Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1964), 64–86.
- [18] Katharina Neumann, *A description of the Jacobson topology on the spectrum of a transformation group C^* -algebras by proper actions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 2011.
- [19] Dorte Olesen and Iain Raeburn, *Pointwise unitary automorphism groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **93** (1990), 278–309.
- [20] Richard S. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 295–323.
- [21] John Phillips and Iain Raeburn, *Crossed products by locally unitary automorphism groups and principal bundles*, J. Operator Theory **11** (1984), 215–241.
- [22] Iain Raeburn, *Induced C^* -algebras and a symmetric imprimitivity theorem*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988), 369–387.
- [23] Iain Raeburn and Jonathan Rosenberg, *Crossed products of continuous-trace C^* -algebras by smooth actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **305** (1988), 1–45.
- [24] Iain Raeburn and Dana P. Williams, *Pull-backs of C^* -algebras and crossed products by certain diagonal actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), 755–777.
- [25] ———, *Crossed products by actions which are locally unitary on the stabilisers*, J. Funct. Anal. **81** (1988), 385–431.
- [26] ———, *Moore cohomology, principal bundles, and actions of groups on C^* -algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), 707–740.
- [27] Marc A. Rieffel, *Proper actions of groups on C^* -algebras*, Mappings of operator algebras (Philadelphia, PA, 1988), Progr. Math., vol. 84, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 141–182.
- [28] ———, *Integrable and proper actions on C^* -algebras, and square-integrable representations of groups*, Expo. Math. **22** (2004), 1–53.
- [29] I. Schochetman, *The dual topology of certain group extensions*, Adv. in Math. **35** (1980), 113–128.
- [30] Dana P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

WESTFÄLISCHE WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, EINSTEINSTR.
62 D-48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY
E-mail address: `echters@uni-muenster.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, HANOVER, NH 03755-3551
E-mail address: `dana.williams@Dartmouth.edu`